

## Regime Types and Democratic Sequencing: Web-Appendix

Jørgen Møller

Associate professor, PhD

Department of Political Science, Aarhus University

Bartholins Allé 7

8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

E-mail: [jm@ps.au.dk](mailto:jm@ps.au.dk)

&

Svend-Erik Skaaning

Associate professor, PhD

Department of Political Science, Aarhus University

Bartholins Allé 7

8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

E-mail: [skaaning@ps.au.dk](mailto:skaaning@ps.au.dk)

## Web-Appendix to “Regime Types and Democratic Sequencing”

This appendix contains the empirical orderings which we refer to in the article, “Regime Types and Democratic Sequencing” (*Journal of Democracy*, Vol. 24, No. 1). More particularly, we report two different variants of the typological analysis for the years 2005-2011, including the yearly country-changes and whether these fit our empirical hierarchy or not.

As described in the paper, these typological orderings are measured using the Freedom House’s yearly *Freedom in the World Survey*. In the main analysis, we have used the operationalization that secures the highest concept-measure validity. This means that we do not use the composite ratings for Political Rights and Civil Liberties but instead the disaggregate ratings which have been made public since the 2006-survey (covering 2005). To quote the more particular operationalization, reported in the article:

In order to achieve a high correspondence between concepts and empirical indicators, we get “under the hood” of the FH survey. That is to say, we use the disaggregated data that are publicly available beginning in 2005 rather than the broader Political Rights and Civil Liberties indices that FH offers as its topline findings.<sup>1</sup> We use the subcomponent scores of the indicator “electoral process” to measure the attribute of electoral rights—and thus minimalist democracy and electoral democracy. This scale ranges from 0 to 12. In our operationalization, a country is a minimalist democracy if it earns a score of 7 or higher and an electoral democracy if it scores at least 11.

In order to measure civil liberties, we use the subcomponent scores found under the indicators “associational and organizational rights” and “freedom of expression and belief.” To qualify as a polyarchy, a country must score at least 11 (on a scale of 0 to 12) on the former indicator, and at least 14 (on a scale of 0 to 16) on the latter, while scoring at least 11 on the electoral-process indicator. Finally, in addition to the polyarchy criteria, a country must score at least 14 (on a scale of 0 to 16) on the rule-of-law indicator in order to qualify as a liberal democracy.

In the secondary analysis we have introduced more fine-grained distinctions by distinguishing between three levels on all attributes (rather than only on electoral rights). This trichotomization is, we would like to point out, not in line with our conceptual distinctions. But it allows us to investigate whether the same empirical hierarchy can be identified one the attributes are treated in a symmetrical fashion. As reported in the article:

The additional thresholds used for this exercise are a score of (at least) 7 for the “freedom of expression and belief” indicator, and a score of (at least) 9 for the indicators on associational and organizational rights and rule of law.

In both cases, the operationalization invokes the so-called minimum rule (“weakest-link-in-the-chain-logic”). For all democracy types, the mere absence of one indicator (i.e., scores below the threshold) disqualifies a country as an instance. The use of the minimum rule follows from the Aristotelian/hierarchical conceptual nature of the typology. More particularly, it is meant to appreciate that defining attributes are individually necessary and mutually sufficient for concepts.

Global distribution of political regimes (main analysis), 2011

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Dominica Estonia Finland France Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein Luxembourg USA  (liberal democracy)	Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Taiwan Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay USA  (liberal democracy)	Monaco  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Ghana Greece Hungary Italy Latvia Lithuania Mauritius Panama	Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname Trinidad & Tobago  (polyarchy)	Benin Mongolia Namibia Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan  (electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)	
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Bolivia Brazil East Timor El Salvador Grenada Guyana India Indonesia Israel Jamaica Moldova Paraguay Sao Tome & Principe Tonga Turkey  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bangladesh Bhutan Bosnia-Herzegovina Botswana Central African Rep. Dominican Rep. Colombia Comoros Ecuador Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Iraq Lesotho Liberia Macedonia Malawi Mexico Montenegro Niger Papua New Guinea Philippines Guinea Peru Samoa Senegal Serbia Seychelles Tanzania Sierra Leone Thailand Tunisia Ukraine Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Fiji Qatar Gabon Russia Rwanda Gambia Georgia Saudi Arabia Guinea Singapore Solomon Islands Honduras Iran Somalia Jordan South Sudan Kazakhstan Sri Lanka Kenya Sudan Kosovo Swaziland Kuwait Syria Kyrgyzstan Tajikistan Laos Togo Lebanon Turkmenistan Libya Uganda Madagascar Malaysia United Arab Emirates Morocco Uzbekistan Mozambique Venezuela Vietnam	

			Maldives Mali	Cuba Djibouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Eritrea Ethiopia	Nepal Nicaragua Nigeria North Korea Oman Pakistan	Yemen Zimbabwe  (autocracy)
--	--	--	------------------	--	--	--------------------------------------

Global distribution of political regimes (secondary analysis), 2011

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Dominica Estonia Finland France Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein	Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Taiwan Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay USA  (liberal democracy)	Monaco (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Ghana Greece Hungary Italy Latvia Lithuania Mauritius	Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname Trinidad & Tobago  (polyarchy)	Benin Mongolia Namibia Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe defects)	(polyarchy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)	
Civil liberties (moderate deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan  (electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)	
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Brazil Grenada India Israel Sao Tome & Principe Tonga  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bosnia-Herzegovina Botswana Lesotho Macedonia Montenegro Samoa Senegal Serbia Seychelles Tanzania  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)	
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	Bolivia East Timor El Salvador Guyana Indonesia Jamaica Moldova Paraguay Turkey  (electoral democracy)	Bangladesh Dominican Rep. Ecuador Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Liberia Mali Mexico Niger Papua New Guinea Peru Philippines	Burkina Faso Georgia Kenya Lebanon Madagascar Mozambique Nigeria Solomon Islands  (autocracy)	

			Sierra Leone Tunisia Ukraine Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	
	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	Malawi  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (severe deficiencies)	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	Bhutan Central African Rep. Colombia Comoros Iraq Maldives Thailand  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Kuwait Algeria Kyrgyzstan Laos Angola Laos Libya Azerbaijan Malaysia Mauritania Morocco Belarus Morocco Nepal Burma Nicaragua Burundi North Korea Cambodia Oman Cameroon Pakistan Chad Qatar China Russia Congo, Rwanda Dem. Rep. Saudi Arabia Congo, Rep. Singapore Cote Somalia d'Ivoire South Sudan Cuba Sri Lanka Djibouti Sudan Egypt Swaziland Equatorial Syria Guinea Tajikistan Eritrea Togo Ethiopia Turkmenistan Fiji Uganda Gabon United Arab Gambia Emirates Guinea Uzbekistan Haiti Venezuela Honduras Vietnam Iran Yemen Jordan Zimbabwe Kazakhstan Kosovo (autocracy)

## Political regime changes (main analysis), 2010 to 2011

Country	2010			2011		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Central African Rep.	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Dominican Rep.	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Moldova	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Nicaragua	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Niger	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Peru	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Serbia	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Taiwan	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
Thailand	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
South Sudan	-	-	-	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Tunisia	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Turkey	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies

**Bold:** Change not in line with hierarchy.

## Political regime changes (secondary analysis), 2010 to 2011

Country	2010			2011		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Central African Rep.	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Dominican Rep.	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
<b>Malawi</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>
Moldova	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Nicaragua	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Niger	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Peru	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Serbia	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Taiwan	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
Thailand	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
South Sudan	-	-	-	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Tunisia	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Turkey	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Ukraine	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies

**Bold:** Change not in line with hierarchy.



Global distribution of political regimes (main analysis), 2010

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Dominica Estonia Finland France Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay USA (liberal democracy)	Monaco  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Ghana Greece Hungary Italy Latvia Lithuania Mauritius Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname Trinidad & Tobago (polyarchy)	Benin Dominican Rep. Mongolia Namibia Serbia Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan Taiwan (electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Bolivia Brazil East Timor El Salvador Grenada Guyana India Indonesia Israel Jamaica Paraguay Peru Sao Tome & Principe Tonga (electoral democracy)	Albania Bangladesh Bhutan Bosnia-Herzegovina Botswana Colombia Comoros Ecuador Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Iraq Lesotho Liberia Macedonia Malawi Maldives Mali Mexico Moldova Montenegro Nicaragua Papua New Guinea Philippines Samoa Senegal Seychelles Sierra Leone Tanzania Turkey Ukraine Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Eritrea Ethiopia Fiji Gambia Georgia Iran Jordan Kazakhstan Kenya Kosovo Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Laos Lebanon Libya Madagascar Malaysia Mauritania Morocco Mozambique Pakistan Qatar Russia Rwanda Saudi Arabia Singapore Solomon Islands Somalia Sri Lanka Sudan Swaziland Syria Tajikistan Thailand Togo Tunisia Turkmenistan Uganda United Arab Emirates Uzbekistan Venezuela Vietnam

				d'Ivoire Cuba Djibouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea	Nepal Niger Nigeria North Korea Oman	Yemen Zimbabwe (autocracy)
--	--	--	--	---	--	----------------------------------

Global distribution of political regimes (secondary analysis), 2010

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Dominica Estonia Finland France Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein	Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay USA  (liberal democracy)	Monaco  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Ghana Greece Hungary Italy Latvia Lithuania Mauritius	Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname Trinidad & Tobago  (polyarchy)	Benin Mongolia Namibia Serbia Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	(polyarchy)		Dominican Rep.  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (moderate deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan Taiwan  (electoral democracy)		(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Brazil Grenada India Israel Sao Tome & Principe Tonga  (electoral democracy)		Albania Bosnia- Herzegovina Botswana Lesotho Macedonia Malawi Montenegro Samoa Senegal Seychelles Tanzania Ukraine  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	Bolivia East Timor El Salvador Guyana Indonesia Jamaica		Bangladesh Ecuador Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Liberia Mali	Burkina Faso Georgia Kenya Lebanon Madagascar Mozambique

		Paraguay Peru  (electoral democracy)	Mexico Moldova Papua New Guinea Philippines Sierra Leone Turkey Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	Niger Nigeria Solomon Islands  (autocracy)		
	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)			
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)			
Civil liberties (severe deficiencies)	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	Bhutan Colombia Comoros Iraq Maldives Nicaragua  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Algeria Angola Armenia Azerbaijan Bahrain Belarus Brunei Burma Burundi Cambodia Cameroon Central African Rep. Chad China Congo, Dem. Rep. Congo, Rep. Cote d'Ivoire Cuba Djibouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Eritrea	Ethiopia Fiji Gabon Gambia Guinea Haiti Honduras Iran Jordan Kazakhstan Kosovo Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Laos Libya Malaysia Mauritania Morocco Nepal North Korea Oman Pakistan Qatar	Russia Rwanda Saudi Arabia Singapore Somalia Sri Lanka Sudan Swaziland Syria Tajikistan Thailand Togo Tunisia Turkmenistan Uganda United Arab Emirates Uzbekistan Venezuela Vietnam Yemen Zimbabwe  (autocracy)

### Political regime changes (main analysis), 2009 to 2010

Country	2009			2010		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Burundi	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Central African Rep.	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Dominican Rep.	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Haiti	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Philippines	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Sri Lanka	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Tanzania	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Tonga	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies

**Bold:** Change not in line with hierarchy.

## Political regime changes (secondary analysis), 2009 to 2010

Country	2009			2010		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Brazil	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Burundi	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Central African Rep.	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
<b>Dominican Rep.</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>
Haiti	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Malawi	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Moldova	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Philippines	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Sri Lanka	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Tanzania	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Tonga	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Zambia	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies

Bold: Change not in line with hierarchy.

Global distribution of political regimes (main analysis), 2009

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Dominica Estonia Finland France Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay USA  (liberal democracy)	Monaco  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Dominican Rep. Ghana Greece Hungary Italy Latvia Lithuania Mauritius Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname Trinidad & Tobago  (polyarchy)	Benin Mongolia Namibia Serbia Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan Taiwan  (electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Bolivia Brazil East Timor El Salvador Grenada Guyana India Indonesia Israel Jamaica Paraguay Peru Sao Tome & Principe  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bangladesh Bhutan Bosnia-Herzegovina Botswana Burundi Central African Rep. Colombia Comoros Ecuador Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Haiti Iraq Lesotho Liberia Macedonia Malawi Maldives Mali Mexico Moldova Montenegro Nicaragua Papua New Guinea Samoa Senegal Seychelles Sierra Leone Sri Lanka Turkey Ukraine Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Georgia Guinea Rwanda Saudi Arabia Singapore Solomon Islands Somalia Sudan Swaziland Syria Tajikistan Tanzania Thailand Togo Tonga Tunisia Turkmenistan Uganda United Arab Emirates Uzbekistan Venezuela Vietnam

				Egypt Equatorial Guinea Eritrea Ethiopia Fiji Gabon Gambia	Philippines Qatar	Yemen Zimbabwe (autocracy)
--	--	--	--	---	----------------------	----------------------------------



## Global distribution of political regimes (secondary analysis), 2009

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Dominica Estonia Finland France Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein	Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay USA  (liberal democracy)	Monaco  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Ghana Greece Hungary Italy Latvia Lithuania Mauritius	Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname Trinidad & Tobago  (polyarchy)	Benin Mongolia Namibia Serbia Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	Dominican Rep.  (polyarchy)		(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (moderate deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan Taiwan  (electoral democracy)		(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Grenada India Israel Sao Tome & Principe  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bosnia-Herzegovina Botswana Lesotho Macedonia Montenegro Samoa Senegal Seychelles Ukraine Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	Tanzania  (autocracy)	
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	Bolivia Brazil East Timor El Salvador Guyana Indonesia Jamaica Paraguay Peru	Bangladesh Ecuador Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Liberia Malawi Mali Mexico Papua New Guinea	Burkina Faso Georgia Kenya Lebanon Madagascar Mozambique Niger Nigeria Philippines	

		(electoral democracy)	Sierra Leone Turkey  (minimalist democracy)	Solomon Islands  (autocracy)
Civil liberties (severe deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	Tonga  (autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	Bhutan Burundi Central African Rep. Colombia Comoros Haiti Iraq Maldives Moldova Nicaragua Sri Lanka  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Algeria Laos Angola Laos Armenia Libya Azerbaijan Malaysia Bahrain Mauritania Belarus Morocco Brunei Nepal Burma North Korea Cambodia Oman Cameroon Pakistan Chad Qatar China Russia Congo, Dem. Rep. Rwanda Congo, Rep. Saudi Arabia Cote d'Ivoire Singapore Cuba Somalia Sudan Swaziland Djibouti Syria Egypt Tajikistan Equatorial Guinea Thailand Eritrea Togo Ethiopia Tunisia Fiji Turkmenistan Gabon Uganda Gambia United Arab Emirates Guinea Emirates Honduras Uzbekistan Iran Venezuela Jordan Vietnam Kazakhstan Yemen Kosovo Zimbabwe  (autocracy)

### Political regime changes (main analysis), 2008 to 2009

Country	2008			2009		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Honduras	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Kosovo	-	-	-	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Lithuania	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies
Madagascar	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Maldives	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
<b>Mongolia</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Deficiencies</b>	<b>Deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Deficiencies</b>
Mozambique	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Niger	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Venezuela	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies

**Bold:** Change not in line with hierarchy.

## Political regime changes (secondary analysis), 2008 to 2009

Country	2008			2009		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Bangladesh	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Congo, Rep.	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Dominican Rep.	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	No deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Honduras	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Kosovo	-	-	-	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Lithuania	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Macedonia	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
<b>Madagascar</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>
Maldives	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
<b>Mongolia</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>
Montenegro	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
<b>Mozambique</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>
<b>Niger</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe Deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>
Venezuela	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies

Bold: Change not in line with hierarchy.

Global distribution of political regimes (main analysis), 2008

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Dominica Estonia Finland France Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein Lithuania Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay USA  (liberal democracy)	Monaco  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Dominican Rep. Ghana Greece Hungary Italy Latvia Mauritius Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname Trinidad & Tobago  (polyarchy)	Benin Namibia Serbia Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan Taiwan  (electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Bolivia Brazil East Timor El Salvador Grenada Guyana India Indonesia Israel Jamaica Niger Paraguay Peru Sao Tome & Principe  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bangladesh Bhutan Bosnia-Herzegovina Botswana Burundi Central African Rep. Colombia Comoros Ecuador Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Haiti Honduras Iraq Lesotho Liberia Macedonia Malawi Mali Mexico Moldova Mongolia Montenegro Mozambique Nicaragua Papua New Guinea Samoa Senegal Seychelles Sierra Leone Sri Lanka Turkey Ukraine Venezuela Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Gabon Russia Gambia Rwanda Georgia Saudi Arabia Guinea Singapore Iran Solomon Islands Azerbaijan Jordan Somalia Bahrain Kazakhstan Sudan Belarus Brunei Kenya Swaziland Kuwait Syria Faso Kyrgyzstan Tajikistan Burma Tanzania Cambodia Laos Thailand Cameroon Lebanon Togo Chad Libya Tonga China Malaysia Tunisia Congo, Dem. Rep. Maldives Turkmenistan Mauritania Uganda Congo, Rep. Morocco United Arab Emirates Nepal Nigeria Cote d'Ivoire North Korea Vietnam Cuba Korea

			Madagascar democracy)	Djibouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Eritrea Ethiopia Fiji	Oman Pakistan Philippines Qatar	Yemen Zimbabwe (autocracy)
--	--	--	-----------------------	--	--	----------------------------------

Global distribution of political regimes (secondary analysis), 2008

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Dominica Estonia Finland France Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein	Lithuania Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay USA  (liberal democracy)	Monaco  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Dominican Rep. Ghana Greece Hungary Italy Latvia Mauritius	Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname Trinidad & Tobago  (polyarchy)	Benin Namibia Serbia Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	(polyarchy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)	
Civil liberties (moderate deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan Taiwan  (electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)	
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Grenada India Israel Sao Tome & Principe  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bosnia- Herzegovina Botswana Lesotho Madagascar Mongolia Samoa Senegal Seychelles Ukraine Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	Tanzania  (autocracy)	
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	Bolivia Brazil East Timor El Salvador Guyana Indonesia	Ecuador Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Honduras Liberia Macedonia	Burkina Faso Congo, Rep. Georgia Kenya Lebanon Nigeria	

		Jamaica Niger Paraguay Peru  (electoral democracy)	Malawi Mali Mexico Montenegro Mozambique Papua New Guinea Sierra Leone Turkey  (minimalist democracy)	Philippines Solomon Islands  (autocracy)
Civil liberties (severe deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	Tonga  (autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	Bangladesh Bhutan Burundi Central African Rep. Colombia Comoros Haiti Iraq Moldova Nicaragua Sri Lanka Venezuela  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Algeria Angola Armenia Azerbaijan Bahrain Belarus Brunei Burma Cambodia Cameroon Chad China Congo, Dem. Rep. Cote d'Ivoire Cuba Djibouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Eritrea Ethiopia Fiji Gabon Gambia Guinea Iran Jordan Kazakhstan  Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Laos Libya Malaysia Maldives Mauritania Morocco Nepal North Korea Oman Pakistan Qatar Russia Rwanda Saudi Arabia Singapore Somalia Sudan Swaziland Syria Tajikistan Thailand Togo Tunisia Turkmenistan Uganda United Arab Emirates Uzbekistan Vietnam Yemen Zimbabwe  (autocracy)



### Political regime changes (main analysis), 2007 to 2008

Country	2007			2008		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Bangladesh	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Bhutan	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Botswana	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Ecuador	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
France	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
Georgia	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Nicaragua	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
<b>Taiwan</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>

**Bold:** Change not in line with hierarchy.

### Political regime changes (secondary analysis), 2007 to 2008

Country	2007			2008		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Bangladesh	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Bhutan	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Botswana	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Ecuador	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
France	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
<b>Georgia</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>
Kyrgyzstan	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Mali	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Nicaragua	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
<b>Taiwan</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>
Zambia	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies

**Bold:** Change not in line with hierarchy.

Global distribution of political regimes (main analysis), 2007

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Dominica Estonia Finland Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein Lithuania  (liberal democracy)	Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay USA	Monaco Taiwan  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Dominican Rep. France Ghana Greece Hungary Israel Italy Latvia Mauritius	Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname Trinidad & Tobago  (polyarchy)	Benin Ecuador Namibia Serbia Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan  (electoral democracy)		(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Bolivia Botswana Brazil East Timor El Salvador Grenada Guyana India Indonesia Jamaica Nicaragua Niger Paraguay Peru Sao Tome & Principe  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bosnia- Herzegovina Burundi Central African Rep. Colombia Comoros Georgia Guatemala Guinea- Bissau Haiti Honduras Iraq Lesotho Liberia Macedonia Madagascar Malawi  Mali Mauritania Mexico Moldova Mongolia Montenegro Mozambique Papua New Guinea Samoa Senegal Seychelles Sierra Leone Sri Lanka Turkey Ukraine Venezuela Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Algeria Angola Armenia Azerbaijan Bahrain Bangladesh Belarus Bhutan Brunei Burkina Faso Burma Cambodia Cameroon Chad China Congo, Dem. Rep. Congo, Rep. Cote d'Ivoire Cuba Djibouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Eritrea	Ethiopia Fiji Gabon Gambia Guinea Iran Jordan Kazakhstan Kenya Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Laos Lebanon Libya Malaysia Maldives Morocco Nepal Nigeria North Korea Oman Pakistan Philippines Qatar Russia Rwanda Saudi Arabia Singapore Solomon Islands Somalia Sudan Swaziland Syria Tajikistan Tanzania Thailand Togo Tonga Tunisia Turkmenistan Uganda United Arab Emirates Uzbekistan Vietnam Yemen Zimbabwe  (autocracy)

Source: Freedom of the World survey by Freedom House

Global distribution of political regimes (secondary analysis), 2007

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Dominica Estonia Finland Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein	Lithuania Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay USA  (liberal democracy)	Monaco Taiwan  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Dominican Rep. France Ghana Greece Hungary Israel Italy Latvia Mauritius	Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname Trinidad & Tobago  (polyarchy)	Benin Namibia Serbia Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	(polyarchy)	Ecuador  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)	
Civil liberties (moderate deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan  (electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)	
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Botswana Grenada India Sao Tome & Principe  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bosnia-Herzegovina Lesotho Madagascar Mali Mongolia Samoa Senegal Seychelles Ukraine  (autocracy)	Tanzania  (autocracy)	
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	Bolivia Brazil East Timor El Salvador Guyana Indonesia Jamaica Nicaragua Niger	Georgia Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Honduras Liberia Macedonia Malawi Mauritania Mexico	Burkina Faso Congo, Rep. Kenya Kyrgyzstan Lebanon Nigeria Philippines Solomon Islands	

		Paraguay Peru  (electoral democracy)	Montenegro Mozambique Papua New Guinea Sierra Leone Turkey Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (severe deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	Tonga  (autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	Burundi Central African Rep. Colombia Comoros Haiti Iraq Moldova Sri Lanka Venezuela  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Kuwait Algeria Laos Angola Libya Armenia Malaysia Azerbaijan Maldives Bahrain Morocco Bangladesh Nepal Belarus North Korea Bhutan Oman Brunei Pakistan Burma Qatar Cambodia Russia Cameroon Rwanda Chad Saudi Arabia China Singapore Congo, Dem. Rep. Somalia Sudan Cote d'Ivoire Swaziland Cuba Syria Djibouti Tajikistan Egypt Thailand Equatorial Togo Guinea Tunisia Eritrea Turkmenistan Ethiopia Uganda Fiji United Arab Emirates Gabon Emirates Gambia Uzbekistan Guinea Vietnam Iran Yemen Jordan Zimbabwe  (autocracy)

### Political regime changes (main analysis), 2006 to 2007

Country	2006			2007		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Bangladesh	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Dominica	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
France	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies
Greece	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies
Jamaica	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Kenya	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Mauritania	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Philippines	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Senegal	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Trinidad & Tobago	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies
USA	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies

**Bold:** Change not in line with hierarchy.

## Political regime changes (secondary analysis), 2006 to 2007

Country	2006			2007		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Bangladesh	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Central African Rep.	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Colombia	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Dominica	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
France	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Greece	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Jamaica	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
<b>Kenya</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>
Malawi	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Mauritania	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Niger	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
<b>Philippines</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>
Senegal	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Trinidad & Tobago	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
USA	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
Venezuela	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies

Bold: Change not in line with hierarchy.



Global distribution of political regimes (main analysis), 2006

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Estonia Finland France Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein	Lithuania Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay  (liberal democracy)	Monaco Taiwan  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bolivia Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Dominica Dominican Rep. Ghana Hungary Israel Italy Latvia Mauritius	Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname  (polyarchy)	Benin Ecuador Namibia Serbia Trinidad & Tobago Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan Greece USA  (electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)	
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Botswana Brazil East Timor El Salvador Grenada Guyana India Indonesia Jamaica Nicaragua Niger Paraguay Peru Sao Tome & Principe Senegal  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bangladesh Bosnia-Herzegovina Burundi Central African Rep. Colombia Comoros Georgia Haiti Honduras Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Iraq Kenya Lesotho Macedonia Madagascar Malawi  Mali Mauritania Mexico Moldova Mongolia Montenegro Mozambique Papua New Guinea Philippines Samoa Seychelles Sierra Leone Sri Lanka Turkey Ukraine Venezuela Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Algeria Angola Armenia Azerbaijan Bahrain Belarus Bhutan Brunei Burkina Faso Burma Cambodia Cameroon Chad China Congo, Dem. Rep. Congo, Rep. Cote d'Ivoire Cuba Djibouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Eritrea	Ethiopia Fiji Gabon Gambia Guinea Iran Jordan Kazakhstan Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Laos Lebanon Libya Malaysia Maldives Morocco Nepal Nigeria North Korea Oman Pakistan Qatar  Russia Rwanda Saudi Arabia Singapore Solomon Islands Somalia Sudan Swaziland Syria Tajikistan Tanzania Thailand Togo Tonga Tunisia Turkmenistan Uganda United Arab Emirates Uzbekistan Yemen Zimbabwe  (autocracy)



Global distribution of political regimes (secondary analysis), 2006

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Cyprus Czech Rep. Denmark Estonia Finland France Germany Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein	Lithuania Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay  (liberal democracy)	Monaco Taiwan  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Costa Rica Croatia Dominica Dominican Rep. Ghana Hungary Israel Italy Latvia Mauritius	Panama Poland Romania Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia St. Vincent & Grenadines Suriname  (polyarchy)	Benin Namibia Serbia Trinidad & Tobago Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	Bolivia  (polyarchy)		Ecuador  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (moderate deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan Greece USA  (electoral democracy)		(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Botswana Grenada India Niger Sao Tome & Principe Senegal  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bosnia- Herzegovina Lesotho Madagascar Mali Mongolia Samoa Seychelles Ukraine  (minimalist democracy)	Tanzania  (autocracy)	
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	Brazil East Timor El Salvador Guyana Indonesia Jamaica Nicaragua Paraguay Peru	Central African Rep. Colombia Georgia Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Honduras Kenya Liberia	Burkina Faso Congo, Rep. Kyrgyzstan Lebanon Nigeria Solomon Islands  (autocracy)	

		(electoral democracy)	Macedonia Malawi Mauritania Mexico Montenegro Mozambique Papua New Guinea Philippines Sierra Leone Turkey Zambia  (minimalist democracy)	
	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	Tonga  (autocracy)
Civil liberties (severe deficiencies)	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	Bangladesh Burundi Comoros Haiti Iraq Moldova Sri Lanka Venezuela  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Algeria Angola Armenia Azerbaijan Bahrain Belarus Bhutan Brunei Burma Cambodia Cameroon Chad China Congo, Dem. Rep. Cote d'Ivoire Cuba Djibouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Eritrea Ethiopia Fiji Gabon Gambia Guinea Iran Jordan Kazakhstan  Kuwait Laos Libya Malaysia Maldives Morocco Nepal North Korea Oman Pakistan Qatar Russia Rwanda Saudi Arabia Singapore Somalia Sudan Swaziland Syria Tajikistan Thailand Togo Tunisia Turkmenistan Uganda United Arab Emirates Uzbekistan Vietnam Yemen Zimbabwe  (autocracy)

## Political regime changes (main analysis), 2005 to 2006

Country	2005			2006		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Bolivia	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies
Costa Rica	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies
Czech Rep.	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
France	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
<b>Greece</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Deficiencies</b>	<b>Deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>
Guyana	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Haiti	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Hungary	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies
Iraq	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Jamaica	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Mauritania	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Mauritius	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies
Mexico	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Montenegro	-	-	-	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Nicaragua	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Niger	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Nigeria	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
Romania	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies
Solomon Islands	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
St. Vincent & Grenadines	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Deficiencies
<b>Taiwan</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>
Thailand	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies
<b>USA</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>
Zambia	Severe deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Deficiencies	Deficiencies

**Bold: Change not in line with hierarchy.**

## Political regime changes (secondary analysis), 2005 to 2006

Country	2005			2006		
	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law	Electoral rights	Civil liberties	Rule of law
Bolivia	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Costa Rica	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Czech Rep.	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
Fiji	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
France	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies
<b>Greece</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>
Guyana	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Haiti	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Hungary	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Iraq	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Jamaica	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Mauritania	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Mauritius	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
Mexico	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Montenegro	-	-	-	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Nicaragua	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Niger	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
<b>Nigeria</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>
Papua New Guinea	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Philippines	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Romania	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
<b>Solomon Islands</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>
Sri Lanka	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
St. Vincent & Grenadines	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	No deficiencies	No deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies
<b>Taiwan</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>
Thailand	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
<b>Tonga</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Severe deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>
<b>USA</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>	<b>Moderate deficiencies</b>	<b>No deficiencies</b>

Venezuela	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Severe deficiencies
Zambia	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Moderate deficiencies	Severe deficiencies

**Bold:** Change not in line with hierarchy.

Global distribution of political regimes (main analysis), 2005

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Costa Rica Cyprus Denmark Estonia Finland Germany Hungary Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein	Lithuania Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Mauritius Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Taiwan Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay  (liberal democracy)	Monaco USA  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Croatia Czech Rep. Dominica Dominican Rep. France Ghana Israel Italy Latvia	Panama Poland Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia Suriname  (polyarchy)	Benin Bolivia Ecuador Namibia Serbia-Montenegro St. Vincent & Grenadines Trinidad & Tobago Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Japan  (electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)	
	Rule of law (deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Botswana Brazil East Timor El Salvador Greece Grenada India Indonesia Mexico Paraguay Peru Sao Tome & Principe Senegal  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bangladesh Bosnia- Herzegovina Burundi Central African Rep. Colombia Comoros Georgia Guatemala Guinea- Bissau Guyana Honduras Jamaica Kenya Lesotho Liberia Macedonia Madagascar Malawi  Mali Moldova Mongolia Mozambique Nicaragua Niger Nigeria Papua New Guinea Philippines Romania Samoa Seychelles Sierra Leone Solomon Islands Sri Lanka Thailand Turkey Ukraine Venezuela  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Algeria Angola Armenia Azerbaijan Bahrain Belarus Bhutan Brunei Burkina Faso Burma Cambodia Cameroon Chad China Congo, Dem. Rep. Congo, Rep. Cote d'Ivoire Cuba Djibouti Egypt Equatorial Guinea Eritrea	Ethiopia Fiji Gabon Gambia Guinea Haiti Iran Iraq Jordan Kazakhstan Kuwait Kyrgyzstan Laos Lebanon Libya Malaysia Maldives Mauritania Morocco Nepal North Korea Oman Pakistan Qatar Russia Rwanda Saudi Arabia Singapore Somalia Sudan Swaziland Syria Tajikistan Tanzania Togo Tonga Tunisia Turkmenistan Uganda United Arab Emirates Uzbekistan Vietnam Yemen Zambia Zimbabwe  (autocracy)



Global distribution of political regimes (secondary analysis), 2005

		Electoral rights (no deficiencies)	Electoral rights (moderate deficiencies)	Electoral rights (severe deficiencies)	
Civil liberties (no deficiencies)	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	Andorra Australia Austria Bahamas Barbados Belgium Canada Cape Verde Chile Costa Rica Cyprus Denmark Estonia Finland Germany Hungary Iceland Ireland Kiribati Liechtenstein	Lithuania Luxembourg Malta Marshall Islands Mauritius Micronesia Nauru Netherlands New Zealand Norway Palau Portugal San Marino Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Taiwan Tuvalu United Kingdom Uruguay  (liberal democracy)	Monaco USA  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Argentina Belize Bulgaria Croatia Czech Rep. Dominica Dominican Rep. France Ghana Israel Italy Latvia	Panama Poland Slovakia South Africa South Korea St. Kitts & Nevis St. Lucia Suriname  (polyarchy)	Benin Namibia Serbia- Montenegro St. Vincent & Grenadines Trinidad & Tobago Vanuatu  (minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (severe defects)	(polyarchy)		Bolivia Ecuador	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (moderate deficiencies)	Rule of law (no defects)	Japan  (electoral democracy)		(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	Antigua & Barbuda Botswana Greece Grenada India Sao Tome & Principe Senegal  (electoral democracy)	Albania Bosnia- Herzegovina Lesotho Madagascar Mali Mongolia Niger Papua New Guinea Philippines Romania Samoa Seychelles Ukraine  (minimalist democracy)	Fiji Tanzania Tonga  (autocracy)	
	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	Brazil East Timor El Salvador Indonesia Mexico Paraguay Peru		Central African Rep. Colombia Georgia Guatemala Guinea-Bissau Guyana	Burkina Faso Congo, Rep. Kyrgyzstan Lebanon Zambia  (autocracy)

		(electoral democracy)	Honduras Jamaica Kenya Liberia Macedonia Malawi Mozambique Nicaragua Nigeria Sierra Leone Solomon Islands Sri Lanka Thailand Turkey  (minimalist democracy)	
	Rule of law (no deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
	Rule of law (moderate deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	(minimalist democracy)	(autocracy)
Civil liberties (severe deficiencies)	Rule of law (severe deficiencies)	(electoral democracy)	Bangladesh Burundi Comoros Moldova Venezuela  (minimalist democracy)	Afghanistan Algeria Angola Libya Armenia Malaysia Azerbaijan Maldives Bahrain Mauritania Belarus Morocco Bhutan Nepal Brunei North Korea Burma Oman Cambodia Pakistan Cameroon Qatar Chad Russia China Rwanda Congo, Dem. Rep. Saudi Arabia Cote d'Ivoire Singapore Somalia Cuba Sudan Djibouti Swaziland Egypt Syria Equatorial Guinea Tajikistan Eritrea Togo Ethiopia Tunisia Gabon Turkmenistan Gambia Uganda Guinea United Arab Emirates Haiti Iran Uzbekistan Iraq Vietnam Jordan Yemen Kazakhstan Zimbabwe  (autocracy)

<sup>i</sup> See [www.freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-world-aggregate-and-subcategory-scores](http://www.freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-world-aggregate-and-subcategory-scores).